

APPENDIX D

RESPONSES TO FORMAL ADVERTISEMENT

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	<p>Objection - The placement of parking restrictions down one side of the street will only serve to provide a `rat run` for motorists, some of which reach speeds of 70mph on occasion. When cars park both sides motorists are forced to reduce their speed and proceed in a more cautious manner. There have been many complaints to the police regarding this over many years as they will verify, however as they are often unable to attend or unable to do anything complaints are not always reported. would like to know what proposals have been made to address the problem of people driving full speed on the pavement outside 144 and 146 when no cars are parked on the east side</p>	<p>This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking, and this bend is one of the major causes of obstructions and hazards</p>
2	<p>Objection - concerned that the measures proposed will only address one of the symptoms of the problem rather than resolving the root cause and hence must object to the proposal in its current format. In fact restricting parking to one side of the street, without taking any other actions, will actually make the underlying problem even worse, as there will still be the same number of cars attempting to park in a reduced number of available spaces.</p>	<p>This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking</p>
3	<p>Objection - to the proposed traffic order for the following reasons:- 1. If all vehicles are to be parked on the western side of Castle Street, there will be insufficient room to pass oncoming traffic. Suggest that sections of the western side of the road are kept clear to allow for passing places. 2. No waiting Mon – Sun 8am – 7pm - The parking restriction is needed at the weekends and then only <u>up until 5pm</u> by which time 80% of visitors have gone home. 3. We request that the parking restriction (ie the current proposal of No waiting Mon – Sun 8am – 7pm) extends in front of our</p>	<p>This will be monitored and it is recommended that the times for the restrictions are shortened from those originally proposed</p>

	<p>property (214 Castle Street) as this is one of the more dangerous (and bottleneck) areas of the road. When cars also park on the eastern side of the road outside of our property, there is insufficient room for emergency vehicles to access the Castle. <u>Extending the restricted parking in front of No 214</u> would prevent this problem occurring. We attach a marked up copy of the Ordnance Survey Map to assist. We support the 'no waiting at any time' proposals.</p>	
4	<p>Support - in the interest of road safety, would request that No Waiting at Any Time be imposed along the whole length of Barbican Mews.</p>	<p>Additional restrictions in Barbican Mews can be investigated further in due course</p>
5	<p>Objection - strongly object to the proposed parking restrictions outside the properties of 182,184,186,188 and 190 Castle street, Portchester as they are unnecessary and will only add to the chronic parking problems caused by visitors not having adequate parking for visiting the Castle and the reluctance of the Cormorant Public House to promote the use of their car park.</p>	<p>This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking</p>
6	<p>Objection - can't still see no value to be found in pushing all of the cars from the eastern side of the street onto the western side, which is already overly congested at weekends. One must assume these 'complaints' you have received have been penned by visitors to the castle and dog walkers, as I have yet to speak to a resident of the street who supports these plans</p>	<p>This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking</p>
7	<p>Objection - CONGESTION only takes place on a few days in the year usually in the summer at the weekend. For the rest of year, about 360 days, congestion is not a problem. SPEEDING has always been a problem in Castle Street but with increased numbers of cars UNRESTRICTED PARKING has created a DETERRENT especially outside 144-146. This becomes a natural 'pinch-point' with the positive effect of calming traffic speed and increasing safety. Removing any parking spaces will make daily life extremely difficult for residents who have no off-road parking and will have to vie with those who currently park on the east side. Not everyone can, or would want to walk distances to access their homes especially at night. I do appreciate that there</p>	<p>This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking, and this bend is one of the major causes of obstructions and hazards</p>

	is no right to this but hope that it will be taken into consideration.	
8	Objection - I have no real objection to stopping cars parking on the bend as it does hinder a poor driver or a foreign coach driver, although it does also hinder the speeding cars driven by young men that we have to frequently call the police about, but I do object to the restrictions for the rest of the road.	This will be monitored, but the present occurrences of obstruction cannot be addressed without some removal of parking
9	Support - As a resident of Barbican Mews, I am writing to ask that the yellow lines that are being considered for the entrance, are extended to cover the whole of the Mews.	Additional restrictions in Barbican Mews can be investigated further in due course
10	Support - fully supportive of the introduction of double yellow lines into Barbican Mews and would like to see them extended to cover the whole mews and for 24 hours a day (at the moment they only go up to the pinch point at the entrance to the mews) as there is a real danger of the people living in Castle Street parking here when their double yellow lines are introduced.	Additional restrictions in Barbican Mews can be investigated further in due course
11	Support - would like to see the whole length of Barbican Mews to have No Waiting at Any Time because of displacement when Castle Street restrictions are implemented.	Additional restrictions in Barbican Mews can be investigated further in due course
12	Partial support - can understand the need for restrictions but would like them reduced to apply 10am-5pm. Supports the no waiting at any time on the bend outside Nos 140-146	This accords well with the recommendations of the report

Summary

No. written to object – 7 (of which 4 have written previously)

No. written to support – 4

No. written in partial support – 1, which would be satisfied by the recommendation